# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 5094-02

Bill No.: Perfected SCS for SB 942

Subject: Cities, Towns, and Villages; Annexation

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: March 22, 2010

Bill Summary: This proposal allows municipalities to annex property within research,

development, and office park projects under certain circumstances.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	60	60	60	
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 5094-02

Bill No. Perfected SCS for SB 942

Page 2 of 4 March 22, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Bill No. Perfected SCS for SB 942

Page 3 of 4 March 22, 2010

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development** and the **City of Kansas City** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

**Oversight** did not receive any other responses from local governments which might be affected by this proposal.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal would allow municipalities to annex a parcel of land within a research, development, or office park which could result in additional tax revenue to the municipalities but would require additional services to be provided by those municipalities. Any fiscal impact to a local government would result from a successful annexation process in an situation which met the requirements of the proposal. For fiscal note purposes, Oversight will indicate no impact to the state or to local governments.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

KG:LR:OD

L.R. No. 5094-02 Bill No. Perfected SCS for SB 942 Page 4 of 4 March 22, 2010

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Economic Development City of Kansas City

# **NOT RESPONDING**

City of Weldon Springs City of Dardenne Prairie City of O'Fallon

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

March 22, 2010